



PRO INSTRUMENTS FOR MENTAL DISORDERS USED IN STUDIES PUBLISHED SINCE 2005: WHICH DISEASES HAVE HAD THE MOST TOOLS DEVELOPED?

Alison Martin¹ ¹Crystallise Ltd, Essex, UK alison.martin@crystallise.com

Objectives

To determine the number of unique patient- and clinician-reported outcome (PRO) tools that have been used in studies published since 2005 for different disease areas and populations.

Methods

We developed a PRO instrument ontology from those tools cited within the abstracts of over 100,000 studies identified by a systematic search of PubMed on the humanistic and economic burden of disease, which were stored in an online database (<u>www.heoro.com</u>). The ontology items are semantically indexed by general topic, population, disease or body area, symptom or treatment. Abstracts are tagged to each relevant ontology item during an automated process using text processing and tokenising approaches, and indexing is then checked by experts.

Results

A total of 4,272 instruments were identified from 22,254 relevant abstracts. Of these, 2,540 (59%) were disease-specific tools, and 434 (17% of the disease-specific tools and 10% of the total) were used in studies of patients with mental disorders, more than any other disease area.

Many of these tools (53) were not specific for any one mental disorder. The remaining 381 tools were designed for, or used in, more than 25 different mental disorders. The most diversity was found for affective disorders, with 44 tools for depression, 36 for anxiety, 16 for any affective disorder, 13 assessing mood, 8 for phobias and 7 for obsessive-compulsive disorder. Forty two tools were used for substance abuse, alcohol abuse, smoking or other addictions, 41 each for dementia and stress/PTSD/ burnout, 40 for schizophrenia, 16 for ADHD, 17 for eating disorders, 10 for delirium and 7 for bipolar disorder.

Disease or problem	Number of instruments	Disease or problem	Number of instruments
Any mental health problem	53	Phobias	8
Depression	44	Addiction	7
Dementia	41	Bipolar disorder	7
Schizophrenia	40	Burnout	7
Anxiety	36	Obsessive-compulsive disorder	7
Personality assessment	21	Autism	5
Substance abuse	21	Postnatal depression	4
Eating disorders	17	Smoking	3
Post-traumatic stress	17	Anger	2
Stress	17	Panic disorder	2
ADHD	16	Psychosis	2
Any affective disorders	16	Body dysmorphic disorder	1
Alcohol abuse	14	Grief	1
Mood assessments	13	Psychotic depression	1
Delirium	10	Tourette's syndrome	1

Conclusions

A vast number of different PRO tools have been developed and used in research published over the past 10 years. Mental disorders have been the most widely represented, reflecting their impact on subjective outcomes, but the diversity makes it challenging to compare effectiveness and cost-effectiveness outcomes across studies.

Crystallise Ltd. Unit 21 Thames Enterprise Centre, Thames Industrial Park, East Tilbury, Essex RM18 8RH, UK **Tel**: +44 (0)1375 488020



Presented at the ISPOR 19th Annual European Congress 29 October-2 November 2016; Vienna, Austria

For a copy of this poster, email: alison.martin@crystallise.com

www.crystallise.com www.heoro.com